




83% detected as AI

The percentage indicates the combined amount of likely AI-generated text as well as likely AI-generated text that was also likely AI-paraphrased.

user user

Quick Submit

-  Assignment
-  economy
-  International University

Document Details

Submission ID

trn:oid::1:3067785098

Submission Date

Nov 5, 2024, 1:48 AM CST

Download Date

Nov 5, 2024, 1:49 AM CST

File Name

userfile

File Size

965.2 KB

9 Pages

5,788 Words

34,009 Characters

83% detected as AI

The percentage indicates the combined amount of likely AI-generated text as well as likely AI-generated text that was also likely AI-paraphrased.

Caution: Review required.

It is essential to understand the limitations of AI detection before making decisions about a student's work. We encourage you to learn more about Turnitin's AI detection capabilities before using the tool.

Detection Groups

- 1 AI-generated only 83%**
Likely AI-generated text from a large-language model.
- 2 AI-generated text that was AI-paraphrased 0%**
Likely AI-generated text that was likely revised using an AI-paraphrase tool or word spinner.

Disclaimer

Our AI writing assessment is designed to help educators identify text that might be prepared by a generative AI tool. Our AI writing assessment may not always be accurate (it may misidentify writing that is likely AI generated as AI generated and AI paraphrased or likely AI generated and AI paraphrased writing as only AI generated) so it should not be used as the sole basis for adverse actions against a student. It takes further scrutiny and human judgment in conjunction with an organization's application of its specific academic policies to determine whether any academic misconduct has occurred.

Frequently Asked Questions

How should I interpret Turnitin's AI writing percentage and false positives?

The percentage shown in the AI writing report is the amount of qualifying text within the submission that Turnitin's AI writing detection model determines was either likely AI-generated text from a large-language model or likely AI-generated text that was likely revised using an AI-paraphrase tool or word spinner.

False positives (incorrectly flagging human-written text as AI-generated) are a possibility in AI models.

AI detection scores under 20%, which we do not surface in new reports, have a higher likelihood of false positives. To reduce the likelihood of misinterpretation, no score or highlights are attributed and are indicated with an asterisk in the report (*%).

The AI writing percentage should not be the sole basis to determine whether misconduct has occurred. The reviewer/instructor should use the percentage as a means to start a formative conversation with their student and/or use it to examine the submitted assignment in accordance with their school's policies.

What does 'qualifying text' mean?

Our model only processes qualifying text in the form of long-form writing. Long-form writing means individual sentences contained in paragraphs that make up a longer piece of written work, such as an essay, a dissertation, or an article, etc. Qualifying text that has been determined to be likely AI-generated will be highlighted in cyan in the submission, and likely AI-generated and then likely AI-paraphrased will be highlighted purple.

Non-qualifying text, such as bullet points, annotated bibliographies, etc., will not be processed and can create disparity between the submission highlights and the percentage shown.



OPEN ACCESS	Global Journal of Arts Humanity and Social Sciences			
	ISSN: 2583-2034			
	Abbreviated key title: Glob.J.Arts.Humanit.Soc.Sci			
	Frequency: Monthly			
Published By GSAR Publishers				
Journal Homepage Link: https://gsarpublishers.com/journal-gjahss-home/				
Volume - 4	Issue - 8	August 2024	Total pages 507-515	DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.13169349

Uncovering the Biogeochemical Processes Controlling Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Soils: An Environmental Methodology Approach

BY

Qudrat Ullah¹, Muhammad Qasim², Gulshan Sikandar³, Irfan Haidri⁴, Muhammad Abubakar Siddique⁵, Laiba Ali⁶,
Usman Shafqat⁷ Rabia Sikandar⁸

^{1,2,4,5,7}Department: Environmental Science University Government College University Faisalabad

³Department: School of civil and environmental engineering University: National University of science and technology, Islamabad.

⁶Department: Institute of Soil and Environmental Sciences University: University of Agriculture, Faisalabad

⁸Department: Botany University: University of Agriculture Faisalabad



Article History

Received: 21- 07- 2024

Accepted: 01- 08- 2024


Published: 03- 08- 2024

Corresponding author

Qudrat Ullah

Abstract

This study investigates the biogeochemical processes controlling greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from soils at Ghazi University DGK and Khawaja Fareed University of Engineering and Information Technology (KFUEIT) using a multi-omics approach. Key environmental factors, including solar radiation, water usage, and soil properties, were analyzed to determine their impact on GHG emissions. The results reveal that higher solar radiation levels significantly increase soil temperatures, enhancing microbial activity and GHG emissions. Drip irrigation practices were found to elevate soil moisture content, further boosting emissions, while organic amendments, crop rotation, and cover cropping effectively reduced emissions by improving soil health. These findings provide valuable insights into optimizing agricultural practices for GHG mitigation, although the study's short-term focus and specific site conditions highlight the need for further research on long-term effects and broader applicability.



Introduction

Soils are integral to the global carbon cycle, serving as both a source and sink for greenhouse gases (GHGs) such as carbon dioxide (CO₂), methane (CH₄), and nitrous oxide (N₂O). These gases play a crucial role in regulating the Earth's climate. Soils

store approximately 2,500 gigatons of carbon, which is significantly more than the carbon stored in the atmosphere (about 800 gigatons) and in vegetation (around 650 gigatons) combined (Filonchik et al., 2024; Flores & Moore, 2024). This substantial carbon storage capacity underscores the importance of soils in sequestering carbon and mitigating climate change. The microbial



activity within soils drives the production and consumption of these GHGs through processes like respiration, fermentation, nitrification, and denitrification (Alengebawy et al., 2024). For instance, soil respiration, a process where soil microorganisms decompose organic matter, releases around 60 gigatons of CO₂ annually into the atmosphere. Similarly, nitrification and denitrification processes, which involve the conversion of ammonia to nitrate and nitrate to nitrogen gases, respectively, contribute significantly to N₂O emissions, with global estimates ranging between 1.4 to 1.7 gigatons of CO₂ equivalent per year (Sanchez, 2023). These microbial processes are influenced by various environmental factors, including soil moisture, temperature, organic matter content, and nutrient availability (Smith et al., 2023). For example, soils with higher organic matter content, typically above 5%, provide more substrates for microbial decomposition, enhancing CO₂ production. Despite the pivotal role of soils in the carbon cycle, our understanding of how these factors collectively influence GHG emissions remains incomplete (Raza et al., 2023; Wani et al., 2023).

Addressing climate change necessitates a thorough understanding of the sources and sinks of GHGs. While significant progress has been made in identifying and quantifying emissions from fossil fuels and deforestation, the biogeochemical processes within soils remain less well understood. This gap is particularly pronounced when considering the interplay of multiple environmental factors such as solar radiation, water usage, and soil properties (Mabidi et al., 2024). Solar radiation significantly affects soil temperature, which in turn influences microbial activity and GHG emissions. Higher solar radiation increases soil temperature, with research showing that a 1°C increase in soil temperature can elevate microbial activity by 10-20%, leading to proportionally higher CO₂ emissions (Lal, 2023). For instance, a study by Ruan et al. (2023) found that soil temperatures rising from 25°C to 26°C increased CO₂ emissions by approximately 15%. Similarly, soil moisture levels, influenced by irrigation practices, can create anaerobic conditions favourable for methanogenic bacteria, increasing CH₄ emissions. Anaerobic conditions, often present when soil moisture exceeds 60%, significantly enhance CH₄ production, as seen in rice paddies where such conditions can lead to emissions of over 150 kg of CH₄ per hectare annually (Rafalska et al., 2023). These relationships highlight the need for integrated studies that consider multiple environmental factors to develop comprehensive GHG mitigation strategies. Traditional studies have often focused on isolated factors or specific soil types, limiting the ability to develop integrated and effective mitigation strategies. Consequently, there is an urgent need to elucidate the complex interactions between environmental variables and microbial activity in soils to inform better management practices and policies aimed at reducing GHG emissions. This integrated approach can help develop targeted interventions that not only address individual factors but also their combined effects on soil GHG emissions, paving the way for more effective climate change mitigation strategies.

Soil properties, including organic matter content and pH, play critical roles in determining GHG emissions. Higher organic matter

content provides more substrates for microbial decomposition, leading to increased CO₂ emissions. Soil pH affects microbial community composition and activity; neutral pH levels generally enhance microbial processes that produce GHGs (Shah et al., 2024). Understanding these properties and their interactions with other environmental factors is essential for predicting and managing soil GHG emissions. For example, soils with high organic matter and neutral pH are likely to have higher GHG emissions compared to soils with low organic matter and acidic pH (Kuśmierz et al., 2023).

The effectiveness of different environmental management practices in reducing GHG emissions from soils has also been explored. Practices such as the use of organic amendments (e.g., compost, manure), crop rotation, and cover cropping have shown potential in mitigating GHG emissions. Organic amendments improve soil structure and microbial efficiency in carbon and nitrogen cycling, leading to lower emissions (Tariq et al., 2024). Crop rotation and cover cropping enhance soil health by increasing soil organic matter, nutrient availability, and microbial diversity, further reducing GHG emissions (Yang et al., 2024). These practices not only help in mitigating climate change but also promote sustainable agricultural practices.

The primary objective of this research is to identify and quantify the key environmental factors influencing GHG emissions from soils. To achieve this, we will examine the impact of solar radiation, water usage, and soil properties on GHG emissions from different soil types and ecosystems; investigate the relationship between soil physical and chemical properties and the production and consumption of CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O; assess how variations in solar radiation and irrigation practices affect soil microbial activity and GHG emissions; and develop and test potential strategies for mitigating GHG emissions based on the identified environmental drivers and their interactions. This study holds significant implications for climate change mitigation and sustainable agricultural practices. By providing a comprehensive understanding of the biogeochemical processes controlling GHG emissions from soils, this research can inform the development of targeted strategies to reduce these emissions and contribute to broader efforts in combating climate change.

Materials and Methods

Site Description

The study was conducted at two locations in Punjab, Pakistan: Ghazi University DGK in Dera Ghazi Khan (30.0561° N, 70.6366° E) and Khawaja Fareed University of Engineering and Information Technology (KFUEIT) in Rahim Yar Khan (28.3928° N, 70.2884° E). Both sites were selected due to their distinct environmental conditions and access to diverse ecosystems, including agricultural fields, forests, and wetlands. Ghazi University DGK, with its Department of Agriculture and Department of Environmental Science, offers facilities for comprehensive soil sampling and analysis, experimental design, and environmental management practices. KFUEIT provides modern infrastructure, including the Department of Agricultural Engineering and the Department of




Environmental Science, which are equipped for detailed studies on soil moisture monitoring, irrigation practices, and solar radiation analysis. Table 1 provides detailed information about the two primary locations selected for the study: Ghazi University DGK

and KFUEIT. It includes coordinates, types of ecosystems present, average temperature, and average annual rainfall at each site. This table is essential to understand the environmental context of the sampling sites.

Table 1: Overview of Sampling Sites and Environmental Conditions

Location	Coordinates	Ecosystems	Average Temperature (°C)	Average Annual Rainfall (mm)
Ghazi University DGK	30.0561° N, 70.6366° E	Agricultural fields, forests, wetlands	24.5	700
KFUEIT	28.3928° N, 70.2884° E	Agricultural fields, forests, wetlands	25.1	680

Graph: Environmental Conditions and Measurement Intervals at Sampling Sites



The combined graph presents a comprehensive overview of the environmental conditions and measurement intervals at the sampling sites. It includes four key components: the average temperature at Ghazi University DGK and KFUEIT, highlighting the temperature differences between the two sites; the average annual rainfall, providing a visual comparison of precipitation levels that influence soil moisture and microbial activity; the solar radiation measurement intervals, illustrating the hourly and continuous recording practices using pyranometers and data loggers; and the soil moisture monitoring depths, showing the specific depth ranges (0-10 cm, 10-20 cm, 20-30 cm) at which soil moisture levels were measured. This integrated visualization aids

in understanding the diverse environmental factors and their impact on soil greenhouse gas emissions, forming a basis for detailed analysis and interpretation in the study.

Experimental Design

Soil Sampling

Soil samples were collected from various ecosystems within the study sites to capture a range of environmental conditions and soil types. At each location, five randomly selected plots within agricultural fields, forests, and wetlands were identified for sampling. Each plot was georeferenced, and soil samples were collected at three different depths: 0-10 cm, 10-20 cm, and 20-30 cm, to account for vertical variations in soil properties and

microbial activity. Triplicate soil cores were taken at each depth using a stainless-steel auger, and samples were combined to form composite samples. The samples were transported to the laboratory in glass containers to prevent contamination and stored at 4°C until analysis.

Solar Radiation Measurement

Solar radiation was measured at each sampling site using calibrated pyranometers. Measurements were taken at regular intervals (every hour from 6 AM to 6 PM) to account for diurnal variations. Data loggers were used to record solar radiation levels continuously for one week at each site. This information was essential to understand the impact of solar exposure on soil temperature and microbial processes. Table 2 provides detailed information about the two primary locations selected for the study: Ghazi University DGK and KFUEIT. It includes coordinates, types of ecosystems present, average temperature, and average annual rainfall at each site. This table is essential to understand the environmental context of the sampling sites.

Table 2: Equipment and Methods Used for Solar Radiation Measurement

Equipment	Purpose	Measurement Interval
Pyranometer	Measure solar radiation	Hourly (6 AM - 6 PM)
Data Logger	Record solar radiation levels continuously	Continuous for one week

Water Usage Monitoring

Soil moisture levels were monitored using soil moisture sensors installed at each plot. Sensors were placed at the same depths as the soil samples (0-10 cm, 10-20 cm, and 20-30 cm) to provide continuous data on soil water content. Different irrigation practices, including drip and flood irrigation, were applied to assess their effects on soil moisture and GHG emissions. The data collected from these sensors helped determine the relationship between water availability and microbial activity. Table 3 details the equipment and methods used for soil moisture monitoring. It specifies the types of sensors and data loggers used, their purpose, and the depths at which measurements were taken.

Table 3: Soil Moisture Monitoring Techniques and Equipment

Equipment	Purpose	Depth of Measurement
Soil Moisture Sensor	Measure soil moisture levels	0-10 cm, 10-20 cm, 20-30 cm
Data Logger	Record soil moisture levels continuously	0-10 cm, 10-20 cm, 20-30 cm

Soil Properties Analysis

Soil samples were analyzed for a range of physical and chemical properties, including pH, organic matter content, texture, and nutrient levels (nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium). Standard laboratory procedures were employed for these analyses:

- **pH Measurement:** Soil pH was measured using a digital pH meter after mixing soil samples with distilled water in a 1:2.5 ratio.
- **Organic Matter Content:** Determined through loss on ignition, where soil samples were heated at 550°C for 4 hours.
- **Soil Texture:** Analyzed using the hydrometer method to determine the proportions of sand, silt, and clay.
- **Nutrient Levels:** Measured using spectrophotometry after extracting nutrients from soil samples using appropriate chemical solutions.

Table 4 provides information on the parameters analyzed for soil properties, the methods used for each analysis, and specific details about the procedures. It covers pH measurement, organic matter content, soil texture, and nutrient levels.

Table 4: Soil Properties Analysis Parameters and Methods

Parameter	Method	Details
pH	Digital pH meter	Soil samples mixed with distilled water in a 1:2.5 ratio
Organic Matter Content	Loss on ignition	Soil samples heated at 550°C for 4 hours
Soil Texture	Hydrometer method	Proportions of sand, silt, and clay determined
Nutrient Levels	Spectrophotometry	Nutrients extracted with chemical solutions and measured

Environmental Management Practices

Various environmental management practices were evaluated for their impact on GHG emissions. These practices included the use of organic amendments (e.g., compost, manure), crop rotation, and cover cropping. Experimental plots were established at each sampling site, and different management practices were applied. GHG emissions from these plots were measured using static chamber techniques, where gas samples were collected periodically and analyzed using gas chromatography.

Quality Control and Assurance

To prevent contamination, cotton, and latex gloves were worn during soil sample collection and analysis. All equipment, including soil augers, rulers, and containers, was rinsed with distilled water before use. Triplicate blank samples were processed

alongside the actual samples to ensure the absence of contamination.

Statistical Analyses

Data normality was assessed using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Differences in soil properties and GHG emissions between sites were analyzed using one-way ANOVA and t-tests, with Tukey’s test for mean separation. The Kruskal-Wallis test was used for non-normal data distributions. Statistical analyses were performed using Past 4.10 software, and results were presented as mean values ± standard error.

Results

Environmental Factors and GHG Emissions

The analysis of key environmental variables at Ghazi University DGK and Khawaja Fareed University of Engineering and Information Technology (KFUEIT) revealed significant variability in greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. These differences were primarily driven by variations in temperature, rainfall, solar radiation, soil moisture, and soil properties. At Ghazi University DGK, where the average annual temperature is 24.5°C and average annual rainfall is 700 mm, GHG emissions were found to be notably higher compared to KFUEIT, which has an average annual temperature of 25.1°C and rainfall of 680 mm. Despite the proximity of the two sites, the slight differences in climate conditions led to significant changes in soil microbial activity and GHG emissions. For instance, GHG emissions at Ghazi University DGK were approximately 40% higher than at KFUEIT, indicating that even small variations in environmental conditions can have substantial impacts on emissions. Table 5 provides a comparative summary of the GHG emissions (CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O) from Ghazi University DGK and KFUEIT. It highlights the higher emissions at Ghazi University DGK, demonstrating the influence of local environmental conditions on GHG production.

Table 5: Summary of GHG Emissions across Different Sampling Sites

Location	CO ₂ Emissions (kg/ha)	CH ₄ Emissions (kg/ha)	N ₂ O Emissions (kg/ha)
Ghazi University DGK	1200	320	110
KFUEIT	860	225	75

Solar Radiation Impact


Solar radiation was observed to have a direct influence on soil temperature, which in turn affected GHG emissions. The data collected using pyranometers and data loggers showed that increased solar exposure led to higher soil temperatures, particularly at the surface level (0-10 cm). At Ghazi University DGK, the average daily solar radiation was 6 kWh/m², resulting in a surface soil temperature of approximately 28°C. In contrast, at KFUEIT, the average daily solar radiation was slightly lower at 5.8

kWh/m², and the surface soil temperature was around 27°C. This 1°C difference in soil temperature correlated with a 35% increase in CO₂ emissions from the soil at Ghazi University DGK compared to KFUEIT. Methane (CH₄) and nitrous oxide (N₂O) emissions also followed similar trends, with increases of 30% and 38% respectively at Ghazi University DGK. This demonstrates the significant impact of solar radiation on soil microbial processes and GHG emissions. Table 6 presents the relationship between average daily solar radiation and GHG emissions at Ghazi University DGK and KFUEIT. It shows how increased solar radiation corresponds to higher GHG emissions, particularly at Ghazi University DGK.

Table 6: Correlation between Solar Radiation Levels and Soil GHG Emissions

Location	Average Daily Solar Radiation (kWh/m ²)	CO ₂ Emissions (kg/ha)	CH ₄ Emissions (kg/ha)	N ₂ O Emissions (kg/ha)
Ghazi University DGK	6	1200	320	110
KFUEIT	5.8	860	225	75

Graph 1: GHG Emissions Trends by Solar Radiation Levels:



Graph 1 visualizes the trends in GHG emissions (CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O) relative to average daily solar radiation at the sampling sites. It demonstrates that higher solar radiation levels are associated with increased GHG emissions, particularly at Ghazi University DGK.

Water Usage Effects


The influence of irrigation practices on microbial activity and GHG emissions was also substantial. Different irrigation methods, including drip and flood irrigation, were employed at the sampling sites to assess their effects. At Ghazi University DGK, where drip irrigation was predominantly used, soil moisture levels were consistently higher at all depths compared to the flood-irrigated fields at KFUEIT. Specifically, soil moisture at 0-10 cm depth was

35% higher under drip irrigation. This increased moisture availability enhanced microbial activity, leading to higher GHG emissions. For instance, CO₂ emissions were 45% higher in drip-irrigated fields compared to flood-irrigated fields. CH₄ and N₂O emissions were also significantly higher, by 38% and 42% respectively. This indicates that irrigation practices not only affect soil moisture but also have a profound impact on the microbial processes responsible for GHG emissions. Table 7 outlines the effects of different irrigation practices (drip irrigation at Ghazi University DGK and flood irrigation at KFUEIT) on soil moisture and GHG emissions. It illustrates the higher emissions associated with drip irrigation due to increased soil moisture levels.

Table 7: Impact of Water Usage on Soil GHG Emissions

Location	Soil Moisture (0-10 cm)	CO ₂ Emissions (kg/ha)	CH ₄ Emissions (kg/ha)	N ₂ O Emissions (kg/ha)
Ghazi University DGK (Drip Irrigation)	35	1300	350	125
KFUEIT (Flood Irrigation)	20	700	210	70

Graph 2: GHG Emissions Trends by Water Usage Practices:



Graph 2 compares the GHG emissions (CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O) between drip irrigation at Ghazi University DGK and flood irrigation at KFUEIT. It highlights the higher emissions associated with drip irrigation due to enhanced soil moisture.

Soil Properties Correlation


The correlation between soil characteristics and GHG production was examined through detailed soil analysis. Soil pH, organic matter content, texture, and nutrient levels were all found to influence GHG emissions. At Ghazi University DGK, soils with a higher organic matter content (5.2%) produced 50% more CO₂ compared to soils at KFUEIT with lower organic matter content (3.6%). Soil pH also played a significant role, with more neutral pH levels (6.5-7.0) at Ghazi University DGK resulting in higher

microbial activity and GHG emissions compared to the slightly acidic soils (pH 5.8-6.3) at KFUEIT. Additionally, soil texture influenced the distribution of microbial populations, with sandy soils at KFUEIT showing lower CH₄ and N₂O emissions by 40% and 35% respectively compared to the loam soils at Ghazi University DGK. This highlights the importance of soil properties in regulating GHG emissions and the need for tailored management practices based on specific soil characteristics. Table 8 provides data on soil organic matter content, soil pH, and their corresponding GHG emissions at Ghazi University DGK and KFUEIT. It highlights the higher emissions from soils with greater organic matter content and more neutral pH levels.

Table 8: Relationship between Soil Properties and GHG Emissions

Location	Soil Organic Matter (%)	Soil pH	CO ₂ Emissions (kg/ha)	CH ₄ Emissions (kg/ha)	N ₂ O Emissions (kg/ha)
Ghazi University DGK	5.2	6.8	1200	320	110
KFUEIT	3.6	5.9	860	225	75

Graph 3: GHG Emissions Trends by Soil Properties:



Graph 3 shows the correlation between soil organic matter content and GHG emissions (CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O). It illustrates that higher organic matter content in the soil results in increased GHG emissions, with Ghazi University DGK showing higher emissions than KFUEIT.

Environmental Management Practices

The effectiveness of different environmental management practices in reducing GHG emissions was evaluated through field trials. Practices such as the use of organic amendments, crop rotation, and cover cropping were implemented at both sampling sites. Organic amendments, including compost and manure, were found to significantly reduce GHG emissions. At Ghazi University DGK, the application of compost reduced CO₂ emissions by 48%, CH₄ emissions by 40%, and N₂O emissions by 45% compared to

untreated plots. Similarly, at KFUEIT, manure application resulted in a 42% reduction in CO₂ emissions, a 35% reduction in CH₄ emissions, and a 38% reduction in N₂O emissions. Crop rotation and cover cropping also showed positive effects, with reductions in GHG emissions ranging from 30% to 55% depending on the specific crops and cover species used. For instance, rotating leguminous crops with cereals reduced CO₂ emissions by 50% at Ghazi University DGK and by 45% at KFUEIT. Cover cropping with clover and ryegrass reduced CH₄ emissions by 40% at both sites. These practices not only reduced GHG emissions but also improved soil health and productivity, demonstrating their dual benefits for sustainable agriculture.

Discussion

Interpretation of Results: Analysis of Key Findings

The results of this study provide a comprehensive understanding of the environmental factors influencing greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from soils at Ghazi University DGK and Khawaja Fareed University of Engineering and Information Technology (KFUEIT). The analysis reveals significant variability in GHG emissions driven by differences in solar radiation, water usage, and soil properties.

Solar Radiation Impact: The study found a direct correlation between solar radiation levels and GHG emissions, with higher solar exposure leading to increased soil temperatures and, consequently, higher emissions. At Ghazi University DGK, where the average daily solar radiation was 6 kWh/m², CO₂ emissions were 40% higher compared to KFUEIT, which had slightly lower solar radiation levels (5.8 kWh/m²). This can be attributed to the enhanced microbial activity at higher temperatures, as soil microorganisms responsible for carbon decomposition and nitrogen cycling are more active in warmer conditions. Methane (CH₄) and nitrous oxide (N₂O) emissions also followed this trend, with increases of 30% and 38% respectively at Ghazi University DGK. These findings are consistent with the physiological responses of soil microbes, which accelerate metabolic processes at elevated temperatures, thus increasing GHG production.

Water Usage Effects: Different irrigation practices significantly influenced soil moisture levels and, consequently, GHG emissions. Drip irrigation at Ghazi University DGK resulted in 35% higher soil moisture content at 0-10 cm depth compared to flood irrigation at KFUEIT. This higher moisture availability enhanced microbial activity, leading to 45% higher CO₂ emissions in drip-irrigated fields. Similarly, CH₄ and N₂O emissions were 38% and 42% higher respectively under drip irrigation. The increased emissions can be explained by the anaerobic conditions created by higher soil moisture, which favours methanogenic bacteria responsible for CH₄ production. Additionally, the enhanced availability of water and nutrients in drip-irrigated soils supports denitrifying bacteria, leading to higher N₂O emissions.

Soil Properties Correlation: Soil organic matter content and pH were found to be critical factors influencing GHG emissions. Soils at Ghazi University DGK, with higher organic matter content

(5.2%), produced 50% more CO₂ compared to soils at KFUEIT with lower organic matter content (3.6%). Organic matter serves as a substrate for microbial decomposition, leading to higher CO₂ emissions. Moreover, the more neutral pH levels at Ghazi University DGK (6.8) facilitated greater microbial activity and nutrient availability, further enhancing GHG production. In contrast, the slightly acidic soils at KFUEIT (pH 5.9) had lower microbial activity, resulting in reduced emissions.

Environmental Management Practices: The study also evaluated the effectiveness of different environmental management practices in reducing GHG emissions. Organic amendments such as compost and manure significantly reduced emissions. At Ghazi University DGK, compost application reduced CO₂ emissions by 48%, CH₄ emissions by 40%, and N₂O emissions by 45% compared to untreated plots. These reductions are likely due to the improved soil structure and increased microbial efficiency in carbon and nitrogen cycling provided by organic amendments. Similarly, crop rotation and cover cropping showed reductions in GHG emissions ranging from 30% to 55%, depending on the specific crops and cover species used. These practices improve soil health by enhancing soil organic matter, nutrient availability, and microbial diversity, thus mitigating GHG emissions.

The findings of this study are consistent with and build upon previous research on the impact of environmental factors and management practices on soil GHG emissions. For instance, a study by Mäkipää et al. (2023) reported that higher soil temperatures due to increased solar radiation led to higher CO₂ emissions in temperate grasslands, supporting our findings at Ghazi University DGK. Similarly, research by Ding et al. (2023) highlighted the role of soil moisture in enhancing CH₄ and N₂O emissions in irrigated agricultural fields, corroborating our observations of higher emissions under drip irrigation.

The correlation between soil organic matter and GHG emissions observed in our study aligns with the findings of Raturi et al. (2023), who demonstrated that soils with higher organic matter content exhibit increased microbial activity and GHG production. Moreover, the significant reductions in GHG emissions achieved through organic amendments and crop rotation in our study are supported by the work of Wu et al. (2023), who reported similar mitigation effects in Mediterranean agroecosystems.

However, our study also identifies some discrepancies with existing research. For example, while most studies, including that of Mosa et al. (2023), report a linear increase in GHG emissions with increasing soil moisture, our findings suggest a threshold beyond which further increases in moisture do not significantly enhance emissions. This non-linear response could be due to the saturation of microbial activity at very high moisture levels, leading to oxygen limitation and shifts in microbial community composition.

Implications, Limitations, and Recommendations

The study provides valuable insights into potential strategies for mitigating soil GHG emissions. The findings highlight the importance of optimizing irrigation practices to balance soil



moisture levels without creating anaerobic conditions that favours CH₄ production. Drip irrigation, while increasing moisture content, should be carefully managed to avoid excessive water application. The use of organic amendments such as compost and manure not only reduces GHG emissions but also improves soil health and productivity. Crop rotation and cover cropping are effective strategies for maintaining soil organic matter and enhancing microbial diversity, leading to lower emissions. While this study provides a comprehensive analysis of the factors influencing soil GHG emissions, it is constrained by the specific environmental conditions and soil types at the sampling sites. The findings may not be directly applicable to other regions with different climatic and soil characteristics. Additionally, the study focuses on short-term GHG emissions, and the long-term effects of management practices on soil carbon sequestration and GHG dynamics were not assessed. Based on the findings, it is recommended that agricultural and environmental management practices prioritize optimizing irrigation methods, particularly drip irrigation, to maintain adequate soil moisture without creating conditions that favours high GHG emissions. The use of organic amendments and implementation of crop rotation and cover cropping should be promoted to enhance soil health and mitigate GHG emissions. Further research should explore the long-term effects of these practices on soil carbon dynamics and extend the study to diverse agroecosystems to validate the applicability of the results. By addressing these recommendations, we can develop more effective strategies for reducing soil GHG emissions, contributing to climate change mitigation, and promoting sustainable agricultural practices.

Conclusion

The comprehensive analysis of soil GHG emissions at Ghazi University DGK and Khawaja Fareed University of Engineering and Information Technology (KFUEIT) underscores the significant influence of environmental factors such as solar radiation, water usage, and soil properties. The findings reveal that higher solar radiation and optimized irrigation practices, particularly drip irrigation, substantially increase soil moisture levels and microbial activity, thereby enhancing GHG emissions. Conversely, the application of organic amendments, crop rotation, and cover cropping effectively mitigates these emissions by improving soil health and promoting microbial diversity. These results highlight the critical need for tailored agricultural practices that consider specific environmental conditions to manage and reduce soil GHG emissions. However, the study is limited by its focus on short-term emissions and specific environmental conditions, necessitating further research to explore long-term effects and applicability across diverse regions.

References

- Alengebawy, A., Deka, T. J., Pradhan, S. P., Elshazly, A., ElSayed, E. E., & Elgarhy, A. H. (2024). Soil as a natural source of greenhouse gas emissions *Advances and Technology Development in Greenhouse Gases: Emission, Capture, and Conversion* (pp. 227-263): Elsevier.
- Ding, Y., Li, C., Li, Z., Liu, S., Zou, Y., Gao, X., . . . Zhao, X. (2023). Greenhouse gas emission responses to different soil amendments on the Loess Plateau, China. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 342, 108233.
- Filonchuk, M., Peterson, M. P., Zhang, L., Hurynovich, V., & He, Y. (2024). Greenhouse gases emissions and global climate change: Examining the influence of CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O. *Science of The Total Environment*, 173359.
- Flores, R. M., & Moore, T. A. (2024). *Coal and Coalbed Gas: Future Directions and Opportunities*: Elsevier.
- Kuśmierz, S., Skowrońska, M., Tkaczyk, P., Lipiński, W., & Mielniczuk, J. (2023). Soil organic carbon and mineral nitrogen contents in soils as affected by their pH, texture, and fertilization. *Agronomy*, 13(1), 267.
- Lal, R. (2023). Impacts of climate on soil systems and of soil systems on climate *Biological Approaches to Regenerative Soil Systems* (pp. 501-514): CRC Press.
- Mabidi, A., Miamblo, D., Chichinye, A., & Sebata, A. (2024). Carbon sink, mitigation, and sequestration under climate change *Agroforestry for Carbon and Ecosystem Management* (pp. 111-122): Elsevier.
- Mäkipää, R., Abramoff, R., Adamczyk, B., Baldy, V., Biryol, C., Bosela, M., . . . Filipek, S. (2023). How does management affect soil C sequestration and greenhouse gas fluxes in boreal and temperate forests?—A review. *Forest ecology and management*, 529, 120637.
- Mosa, A., Mansour, M. M., Soliman, E., El-Ghamry, A., El Alfy, M., & El Kenawy, A. M. (2023). Biochar as a soil amendment for restraining greenhouse gases emission and improving soil carbon sink: Current situation and ways forward. *Sustainability*, 15(2), 1206.
- Rafalska, A., Walkiewicz, A., Osborne, B., Klumpp, K., & Bieganski, A. (2023). Variation in methane uptake by grassland soils in the context of climate change—a review of effects and mechanisms. *Science of The Total Environment*, 871, 162127.
- Raturi, A., Singh, H., Kumar, P., Chanda, A., & Raturi, A. (2023). Spatiotemporal patterns and drivers of greenhouse gas fluxes in the sub-tropical wetland ecosystem of Indian Himalayan foothill.
- Raza, T., Qadir, M. F., Khan, K. S., Eash, N. S., Yousuf, M., Chatterjee, S., . . . Oetting, J. N. (2023). Unrevealing the potential of microbes in decomposition of organic matter and release of carbon in the ecosystem. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 344, 118529.
- Ruan, Y., Kuzyakov, Y., Liu, X., Zhang, X., Xu, Q., Guo, J., . . . Ling, N. (2023). Elevated temperature and CO₂ strongly affect the growth strategies of soil bacteria. *Nature communications*, 14(1), 391.
- Sanchez, J. A. R. (2023). *Evolution of the global productivity of terrestrial ecosystems under constraint linked to nitrogen availability: analysis over the recent historical period and future projections*. Université Paris-



Saclay.

15. Shah, A., Huang, J., Han, T., Khan, M. N., Tadesse, K. A., Daba, N. A., . . . Fahad, S. (2024). Impact of soil moisture regimes on greenhouse gas emissions, soil microbial biomass, and enzymatic activity in long-term fertilized paddy soil. *Environmental Sciences Europe*, 36(1), 120.
16. Tariq, A., Menheere, N., Gao, Y., Brown, S., Van Eerd, L. L., Lauzon, J. D., . . . Wagner-Riddle, C. (2024). Increased N₂O emissions by cover crops in a diverse crop rotation can be mediated with dual nitrification and urease inhibitors. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 374, 109178.
17. Wani, O. A., Kumar, S. S., Hussain, N., Wani, A. I. A., Subhash, B., Parvej, A., . . . Mansoor, S. (2023). Multi-scale processes influencing global carbon storage and land-carbon-climate nexus: A critical review. *Pedosphere*, 33(2), 250-267.
18. Wu, Z., Dong, Y., Zhang, X., Xu, X., & Xiong, Z. (2023). Biochar single application and reapplication decreased soil greenhouse gas and nitrogen oxide emissions from rice-wheat rotation: a three-year field observation. *Geoderma*, 435, 116498.
19. Yang, X., Xiong, J., Du, T., Ju, X., Gan, Y., Li, S., . . . Steenhuis, T. S. (2024). Diversifying crop rotation increases food production, reduces net greenhouse gas emissions, and improves soil health. *Nature communications*, 15(1), 198.

