




How much of this submission has been generated by AI?

100%

of qualifying text in this submission has been determined to be generated by AI.

Oha na Eze A Foundational Concept of Igbo Socio-political System.pdf

-  Assignment
-  Class
-  Organization

Document Details

Submission ID

trn:oid::1:2937845129

Submission Date

Jun 5, 2024, 2:25 AM UTC

Download Date

Jun 5, 2024, 2:27 AM UTC

File Name

7_2024_06_05_Oha_na_Eze_A_Foundational_Conc_c6554314d46bfa50.pdf

File Size

458.2 KB

Pages

Words

Characters

How much of this submission has been generated by AI?

100%

of qualifying text in this submission has been determined to be generated by AI.

Caution: Percentage may not indicate academic misconduct. Review required.

It is essential to understand the limitations of AI detection before making decisions about a student's work. We encourage you to learn more about Turnitin's AI detection capabilities before using the tool.

Frequently Asked Questions

What does the percentage mean?

The percentage shown in the AI writing detection indicator and in the AI writing report is the amount of qualifying text within the submission that Turnitin's AI writing detection model determines was generated by AI.

Our testing has found that there is a higher incidence of false positives when the percentage is less than 20. In order to reduce the likelihood of misinterpretation, the AI indicator will display an asterisk for percentages less than 20 to call attention to the fact that the score is less reliable.

However, the final decision on whether any misconduct has occurred rests with the reviewer/instructor. They should use the percentage as a means to start a formative conversation with their student and/or use it to examine the submitted assignment in greater detail according to their school's policies.



How does Turnitin's indicator address false positives?

Our model only processes qualifying text in the form of long-form writing. Long-form writing means individual sentences contained in paragraphs that make up a longer piece of written work, such as an essay, a dissertation, or an article, etc. Qualifying text that has been determined to be AI-generated will be highlighted blue on the submission text.

Non-qualifying text, such as bullet points, annotated bibliographies, etc., will not be processed and can create disparity between the submission highlights and the percentage shown.

What does 'qualifying text' mean?

Sometimes false positives (incorrectly flagging human-written text as AI-generated), can include lists without a lot of structural variation, text that literally repeats itself, or text that has been paraphrased without developing new ideas. If our indicator shows a higher amount of AI writing in such text, we advise you to take that into consideration when looking at the percentage indicated.

In a longer document with a mix of authentic writing and AI generated text, it can be difficult to exactly determine where the AI writing begins and original writing ends, but our model should give you a reliable guide to start conversations with the submitting student.

Disclaimer

Our AI writing assessment is designed to help educators identify text that might be prepared by a generative AI tool. Our AI writing assessment may not always be accurate (it may misidentify both human and AI-generated text) so it should not be used as the sole basis for adverse actions against a student. It takes further scrutiny and human judgment in conjunction with an organization's application of its specific academic policies to determine whether any academic misconduct has occurred.

ISRG Journal of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences (ISRGJAHSS)

**ISRG PUBLISHERS**

Abbreviated Key Title: ISRG J Arts Humanit Soc Sci

ISSN: 2583-7672 (Online)Journal homepage: <https://isrgpublishers.com/isrgjahss>

Volume – II Issue-III (May – June) 2024

Frequency: Bimonthly



Oha na Eze: A Foundational Concept of Igbo Socio-political System.

Ignatius Nnaemeka Onwuatuegwu PhD

Philosophy Department, Faculty of Arts Nnamdi Azikiwe University Awka, Nigeria

| **Received:** 24.04.2024 | **Accepted:** 28.04.2024 | **Published:** 01.05.2024***Corresponding author:** Ignatius Nnaemeka Onwuatuegwu PhD

Philosophy Department, Faculty of Arts Nnamdi Azikiwe University Awka, Nigeria

Abstract

This paper will explore the concept of Oha na Eze, which is fundamental to the socio-political system of the Igbo people, one of Nigeria's largest ethnic groups. Oha na Eze, meaning "the people and the king" in Igbo, embodies the relationship between the ruler and the governed, emphasizing collective responsibility and participation in decision-making. This egalitarian concept reflects the shared power and inclusive nature of Igbo society, where the welfare of the community depends on harmonious interactions between its members and the ruler. The research investigates how Oha na Eze influences governance, community development, conflict resolution, and the promotion of justice, fairness, and equity. Obviously, the researcher affirms that by understanding Oha na Eze, one gains insights into the intricate array of Igbo culture and its enduring legacy in shaping their social and political structures.

Keywords: Oha na Eze, Igbo Socio-political System, Egalitarianism, Community Development, Conflict Resolution.

1. Introduction

The Igbo people are one of the largest ethnic groups in Nigeria (Onwuatuegwu, 2023), primarily inhabiting the southeastern part of the country. They have a rich cultural heritage that spans centuries and encompasses various aspects of life, including language, art, music, religion, and socio-political systems. The Igbo are known for their entrepreneurial spirit, resilience, and strong community ties.

Socio-political systems play a crucial role in shaping the identity, values, and behaviour of a society. They provide a framework for governance, decision-making, and social organization within a community. Understanding the socio-political systems of a culture helps to shed light on its power dynamics, social structure, and the values that underpin its functioning (Nnoli, 1981). In the case of the Igbo people, their socio-political systems are integral to their

cultural identity and have played a significant role in shaping their history and worldview.

Oha na Eze is a fundamental principle in Igbo socio-political systems, representing the relationship between the community (Oha) and the traditional ruler or king (Eze). It encompasses the concept of communal governance, consensus-building, and collective decision-making. Oha na Eze highlights the interdependence and mutual accountability between the ruler and the people, emphasizing the importance of a harmonious relationship for the well-being and progress of the community (Uzodinma & Onwumere, 2017). This concept embodies the democratic nature of Igbo governance systems, where power is shared and decisions are made through inclusive processes involving the entire community.

This concept reflects the egalitarian nature of the Igbo society, where power is not concentrated solely in the hands of a monarch or ruler but is rather shared among the people as a whole. Oha na Eze recognizes that the well-being of the community depends on the harmonious interaction between its members and the ruler, emphasizing the importance of unity, cooperation, and consensus-building (Afigbo, 1981).

In Igbo tradition, the Eze (king) serves as the custodian of the people's welfare and the embodiment of their collective aspirations. However, the Eze's authority is not absolute; it is balanced by the Oha (the people), who have the right to participate in decision-making processes and hold the ruler accountable. This system fosters a sense of inclusiveness, ensuring that the interests and concerns of all members of the community are heard and considered (Mezie, 1999).

Oha na Eze extends beyond political governance and permeates various aspects of Igbo society. It influences the organization of extended families, villages, and town unions, promoting a sense of communal identity and cohesion. The concept also extends to conflict resolution, as disputes are often settled through deliberative processes involving representatives of the Oha and the Eze (Uchendu, 1965).

Furthermore, Oha na Eze encapsulates the principles of justice, fairness, and equity. It upholds the notion that decisions should be made for the greater good of the community, taking into account the diverse perspectives and needs of its members. Through this concept, the Igbo people strive to maintain social harmony and ensure the well-being and progress of their society (Njoku, 1997).

In this exploration of Oha na Eze, we shall delve into the historical significance and contemporary relevance of this foundational concept in the Igbo socio-political system. We shall equally examine its role in governance, community development, and conflict resolution, highlighting the unique characteristics and values that underpin the Igbo society. Understanding Oha na Eze is crucial to comprehending the Igbo people's social and political dynamics, their values of consensus, equity, and participatory decision-making. It provides insights into how leadership and governance are perceived within Igbo culture and sheds light on their communal approach to problem-solving and community development.

2. Igbo Socio-Political Evolution

The Igbo people are an ethnic group predominantly residing in southeastern Nigeria. The origins of the Igbo society are believed to be ancient and complex, with various theories and archaeological evidence suggesting different migration patterns and cultural influences.

According to oral tradition, the Igbo people trace their ancestry to a common ancestor named Eri. It is believed that Eri migrated from the eastern region of present-day Nigeria, possibly from the Nri-Awka area, and settled in the Igbo heartland. Over time, the Igbo society evolved through the integration of diverse groups and interactions with neighbouring cultures (Onwuatuegwu, I. N., 2020).

2.1. Early political structures and systems:

In its early stages, Igbo society was primarily organized around autonomous village communities, known as autonomous communities or "umunna." Each village was self-governing, with its own political, social, and judicial systems. The village was

usually headed by a council of elders, comprising respected individuals chosen based on their age, experience, and wisdom.

The political structure of the Igbo society was largely egalitarian, with decision-making processes emphasizing consensus-building and democratic principles. Important issues were discussed and resolved through community meetings, where every adult member had the opportunity to contribute. This participatory approach allowed for a wide range of voices to be heard, and decisions were often made through consensus rather than hierarchical authority (Onwuatuegwu, I. N., 2020).

2.2. Emergence of Oha na Eze as a foundational concept:

Oha na Eze is a core principle in Igbo socio-political organization and governance. Oha refers to the entire community or village, while Eze means king or ruler (Onwuatuegwu, 2021). Oha na Eze represents the collective will and authority of the people, wherein the welfare of the community takes precedence over individual interests.

The concept of Oha na Eze acknowledges the importance of community participation in decision-making and the need for a central authority figure to facilitate governance. The Eze, or king, is seen as a custodian of the people's interests, responsible for upholding justice, maintaining order, and representing the community in external affairs.

The selection or appointment of an Eze was based on various factors, including lineage, wisdom, leadership qualities, and the ability to maintain harmony within the community. The Eze's authority was not absolute but subject to the will and consent of the people. In this sense, the Eze served as a symbol of unity and a mediator between the community and the spiritual realm.

Oha na Eze played a crucial role in the Igbo socio-political system, promoting social cohesion, conflict resolution, and collective responsibility. This concept continues to hold significance in contemporary Igbo society, even as external influences and modern governance structures have evolved over time.

3. Oha na Eze Overview (Understanding Oha na Eze)

Oha na Eze is a traditional concept in Igbo culture, which refers to the relationship between the community (Oha) and the traditional ruler (Eze). "Oha" is a term used to describe the community or village, and "Eze" signifies the traditional ruler or king. Together, Oha na Eze represents the symbiotic relationship and interdependence between the community and its leader.

3.1. Core principles and values associated with Oha na Eze:

Unity and Cooperation: Oha na Eze emphasizes the importance of unity and cooperation among community members. It promotes the idea that the community's progress and well-being are closely tied to working together harmoniously. This is because through the ages of man's development, one thing is sacrosanct and that is man's interaction with one another (Onwuatuegwu, 2020).

Respect for Authority: The concept recognizes and upholds the authority of the traditional ruler (Eze) as a symbol of the community's identity and heritage. It entails respect for the Eze's position, wisdom, and decisions.

Equity and Justice: Oha na Eze emphasizes fairness and justice within the community. It promotes the idea that the traditional ruler

should govern with impartiality and ensure that all members of the community are treated equitably.

Accountability and Responsibility: Both the Oha (community) and the Eze (traditional ruler) have mutual obligations and responsibilities. The community holds the Eze accountable for providing effective leadership, while the Eze is responsible for ensuring the welfare and development of the community.

Cultural Preservation: Oha na Eze recognizes the significance of cultural heritage and its preservation. The Eze plays a vital role in safeguarding and promoting the community's customs, traditions, and values.

3.2. Roles and responsibilities of the Oha (community) and Eze (traditional ruler):

3.2.1. Roles and Responsibilities of the Oha (community)

Active participation: Community members are expected to actively engage in communal activities, decision-making processes, and development initiatives (Achebe, 1994). This participatory approach fosters a sense of ownership and collective responsibility within the community.

Support and loyalty: They are to show support and loyalty to the Eze, recognizing the authority and leadership of the traditional ruler (Hodgkins, 1986). This fosters social cohesion and facilitates the smooth functioning of the community under the Eze's guidance.

Upholding cultural norms: Community members are responsible for preserving and promoting the community's cultural heritage, customs, and traditions (Okafor, 1987). This transmission of cultural knowledge and practices across generations ensures the continuity of the community's identity.

Contributing to the welfare of the community: Individuals within the community are expected to contribute their skills, resources, and efforts for the collective progress and well-being of the community (Uzodinma, 2014). This collaborative spirit strengthens the community and ensures its ability to meet challenges and achieve shared goals.

3.2.2. Roles and Responsibilities of the Eze (traditional ruler)

Leadership and governance: The Eze is responsible for providing effective leadership, making decisions, and resolving disputes within the community (Nwaorgu, 2016). Their leadership style is often characterized by consultation and consensus-building (Mezie, 1999).

Maintenance of law and order: The Eze ensures the maintenance of peace, security, and order within the community (Achebe, 1994). Traditionally, this may involve a council of elders assisting the Eze.

Promotion of development: The traditional ruler is expected to promote the socio-economic development of the community, initiate development projects, and attract investments (Okafor, 1987). The Eze often acts as a bridge between the community and external actors, such as government agencies and potential investors.

Preserving and promoting cultural heritage: The Eze plays a crucial role in preserving and promoting the community's cultural heritage, customs, and traditions (Uzodinma, 2014). This may involve overseeing cultural events, supporting traditional institutions, and advocating for the community's cultural identity.

Representation and diplomacy: The Eze represents the community in interactions with other communities, government authorities, and at cultural and traditional events (Hodgkins, 1986). They serve as the voice of the community and act as a bridge between the internal and external spheres.

It is important to note that the roles and responsibilities of the Oha and Eze may vary to some extent across different Igbo communities, as traditions and practices can differ slightly from one community to another (Nwaorgu, 2016).

4. Oha na Eze as a Socio-political Framework (Governance and decision-making in the Igbo society)

In Igbo society, governance and decision-making are typically based on a communal system known as "Oha na Eze." This framework emphasizes the participation of the entire community in the decision-making process, with the Eze (traditional ruler) serving as a key figure (Nnoli, 1981).

4.1. Collective participation and consensus-building

Oha na Eze emphasizes collective participation and consensus-building. The decision-making process involves gathering members of the community, including elders, leaders, and representatives, to discuss important matters. This ensures that various perspectives are taken into account, and decisions are made with the broader interests of the community in mind (Achebe, 1994). During these gatherings, individuals are encouraged to express their opinions, share their expertise, and engage in debates. The goal is to reach a consensus through dialogue, negotiation, and the exchange of ideas. This inclusive approach fosters a sense of ownership and collective responsibility among community members (Uzodinma, 2014).

4.2. Role of the Eze as a custodian of tradition and mediator

The Eze plays a crucial role in the Oha na Eze framework. As the traditional ruler, the Eze is seen as the custodian of the community's cultural heritage and traditions. They are responsible for upholding and preserving the customs, values, and norms that define the Igbo society (Afigbo, 1981).

Additionally, the Eze serves as a mediator in conflict resolution. They help facilitate dialogue and reconciliation among community members, striving to find mutually beneficial solutions. The Eze's role as a neutral and respected figure allows them to mediate disputes and maintain harmony within the community (Njoku, 1999). The Eze's authority is not absolute but is based on the recognition and support of the community. They are expected to lead with integrity, fairness, and wisdom, representing the collective interests and aspirations of the people they serve (Osugwu, 2013).

The Oha na Eze framework embodies the democratic principles of collective participation, consensus-building, and the preservation of cultural heritage. It reflects the Igbo society's emphasis on communal values, cooperation, and the pursuit of harmony and justice.

5. Oha na Eze in Practice

Oha na Eze is a traditional Igbo concept that refers to the governance structure and rituals surrounding the selection and installation of a traditional ruler, known as the Eze (Achebe, 1994).

5.1. Traditional rituals and ceremonies associated with Oha na Eze

Selection Process: The Oha na Eze begins with the selection of a new Eze. The process typically involves the kingmakers or the council of elders who are responsible for identifying a suitable candidate. Various factors, including lineage, character, and leadership qualities, are taken into consideration (Hodgetts & Obi, 2000).

Crowning Ceremony: Once a suitable candidate is chosen, a crowning ceremony is held to officially install the new Eze. This ceremony is a significant event in the community and involves elaborate rituals and celebrations. It often includes the symbolic presentation of the Eze's staff of office, regalia, and other traditional insignia of authority (Isiche, 1976).

Ancestral Invocation: Oha na Eze involves the recognition and invocation of ancestral spirits during the installation ceremony. Prayers and rituals are performed to seek the blessings and guidance of the ancestors for the new Eze's reign (Mbanefo, 1981).

Feasting and Celebration: Oha na Eze is marked by feasting and celebrations within the community. It is a time of unity and rejoicing, where people come together to honor the new Eze and show their support and loyalty (Uzodinma & Onwuejelu, 2012).

5.2. Case studies and examples illustrating the functioning of Oha na Eze

5.2.1. Nri Kingdom

The Nri Kingdom in present-day Nigeria is known for its adherence to Oha na Eze. The kingdom has a long history of selecting and installing Ezes based on their ancestral lineage. The Eze of Nri is considered a custodian of the community's traditions and spiritual practices (Jeffreys, 1980). The Oha na Eze rituals and ceremonies in Nri are still followed today, maintaining the cultural and historical significance of the institution (Meek, 1977).

5.2.2. Umueri and Aguleri Conflict Resolution

In the Umueri and Aguleri communities of Anambra State, Nigeria, the Oha na Eze played a vital role in conflict resolution. These communities had a long-standing territorial dispute that led to intermittent violence. To resolve the conflict, the traditional rulers from both communities initiated an Oha na Eze process. The Eze and other community leaders came together, performed rituals, and invoked the ancestral spirits to guide the resolution process. Through this traditional mechanism, the conflict was eventually resolved, and peace was restored between the two communities (Nwapa, 1977).

5.3. Ongoing relevance and adaptation of Oha na Eze in modern times

Oha na Eze has evolved and adapted to the changing times while still maintaining its core principles. Here are some examples of the ongoing relevance and adaptation of Oha na Eze in modern times:

Community Leadership and Governance: The role of the Eze in modern times extends beyond traditional rituals and ceremonies. Ezes now play a crucial role in community leadership and governance, working alongside elected officials to address local issues and promote development (Okafor, 2017).

Cultural Preservation and Tourism: Oha na Eze ceremonies and traditions have become important cultural events that attract tourists and promote cultural preservation. Many communities organize festivals and cultural showcases centered around the Oha

na Eze, creating awareness and appreciation for Igbo traditions (Okpoko, 2012).

Mediation and Conflict Resolution: The Oha na Eze process continues to be utilized for mediation and conflict resolution within communities. Traditional rulers often serve as respected mediators, helping to resolve disputes and maintain peace.

Symbol of Unity and Identity: Oha na Eze acts as a symbol of unity and identity for the community. It serves as a reminder of their shared heritage, values, and traditions, fostering a sense of belonging and pride among community members.

Integration of Modern Practices: While Oha na Eze maintains its traditional elements, it has also integrated modern practices to adapt to the changing times. For example, Ezes now utilize communication technologies to connect with community members, promote development projects, and address issues more efficiently.

Community Development Initiatives: Ezes, as custodians of their communities, actively participate in initiatives for community development. They collaborate with government agencies, NGOs, and other stakeholders to implement projects that improve infrastructure, healthcare, education, and economic opportunities within their domains.

Advocacy for Cultural Rights: Ezes, as traditional rulers, often serve as advocates for the preservation and recognition of cultural rights. They work towards safeguarding traditional practices, languages, and customs, and ensure that the cultural heritage of their communities is respected and protected.

Mentorship and Youth Empowerment: Ezes play a significant role in mentoring and empowering the younger generation. They provide guidance, promote education, and encourage the preservation of cultural values among the youth. This ensures the continuity of Oha na Eze and the community's traditions in the future.

Admittedly, Oha na Eze continues to hold relevance in modern times by combining tradition with adaptation. It serves as a unifying force, promotes cultural preservation, resolves conflicts, and contributes to community development, while also embracing the changing needs and realities of the present era.

6. Challenges and Criticisms of Oha na Eze

6.1. Critiques of power dynamics and representation within the system

Exclusionary nature: One of the main criticisms of Oha na Eze is its tendency to exclude certain groups from power and representation. Some argue that the system is inherently hierarchical and privileges certain individuals or families based on their lineage or social status (Onwuatiegwu, 2023). This can result in the marginalization and exclusion of other groups within the community, such as women or lower social classes.

Lack of democratic processes: Critics argue that Oha na Eze lacks democratic processes and mechanisms for inclusive decision-making. Power is often concentrated in the hands of a few individuals or families, which can lead to an imbalance of power and limited participation from the broader community (Egwuyenga & Omale, 2020).

Gender inequality: Another criticism is the traditional gender roles embedded within Oha na Eze. Women are often excluded from

positions of power and decision-making, reinforcing gender inequality within the system. This exclusion limits the perspectives and contributions of women in community affairs (Egwuyenga & Omale, 2020)

6.2. Impact of colonialism and modernization on Oha na Eze

Disruption of traditional structures: The colonial period and subsequent modernization processes have had a significant impact on Oha na Eze. The imposition of colonial rule often disrupted traditional power structures and introduced new systems of governance that challenged the authority of traditional rulers. This has led to a loss of power and influence for some traditional leaders and a weakening of the Oha na Eze system (Ahamefule, 2017)

Western influences and cultural erosion: Colonialism and modernization also brought with them Western influences and cultural changes that have affected the Oha na Eze system. Western systems of governance and values have often clashed with traditional practices, leading to a decline in the authority and relevance of traditional rulers. Additionally, modernization and urbanization have led to changes in social structures and values, further eroding the traditional roles and practices associated with Oha na Eze (Ahamefule, 2017).

6.3. Contemporary debates and discussions surrounding the concept

Relevance in modern society: There are ongoing debates about the relevance of Oha na Eze in contemporary society. Some argue that the system is outdated and incompatible with modern democratic principles, while others emphasize its cultural significance and the need to preserve traditional institutions (Odumegwu-Ojukwu, 2023).

Balancing tradition and progress: Many discussions revolve around finding a balance between preserving cultural heritage and adapting to changing societal needs. Supporters of Oha na Eze often advocate for preserving the traditional system, while critics argue for incorporating more inclusive and democratic processes (Ohaegbu, 2023).

Decentralization and local governance: Some debates focus on the need for decentralization and greater local autonomy within the Oha na Eze system. This includes discussions about empowering local communities and ensuring broader representation and participation in decision-making processes (Ohaegbu, 2023).

Obviously, the challenges and criticisms of Oha na Eze highlight the need to address power dynamics, promote inclusivity, and adapt the system to the changing realities of the modern world while respecting cultural traditions.

7. Conclusion

Throughout this discussion, we have explored the concept of Oha na Eze in the Igbo socio-political system. We have examined its historical origins, its meaning, and its role in governance and decision-making within Igbo communities. Oha na Eze represents the collective authority of the people, and it embodies the principles of unity, consensus, and communal responsibility. We have also looked at the hierarchical structure of Oha na Eze, with the Eze (king) at the top, followed by the Ndichie (elders) and the Ndi Ozo (titleholders). These key points highlight the fundamental aspects of Oha na Eze.

Oha na Eze holds significant importance in understanding the Igbo socio-political system. It serves as a crucial institution that fosters unity, cooperation, and communal decision-making among the Igbo people. Igbo people have a communalistic style of life as they live together in unity sharing things and responsibilities in common (Onwuaturgwu, 2023). Oha na Eze provides a platform for the expression of the collective will and aspirations of the community. The individual is not considered as being isolated or alienated from the society (Onwuaturgwu, 2022). It ensures that no individual or group holds absolute power and that decisions are made through consensus, taking into account the welfare and interests of the entire community. Furthermore, Oha na Eze reflects the Igbo principles of egalitarianism, as it values the opinions and contributions of every member, regardless of social status. Therefore, comprehending Oha na Eze is essential for grasping the intricacies and values that underpin the Igbo socio-political system.

It is crucial to acknowledge that Oha na Eze is not a static institution but rather a dynamic one that adapts to changing times and circumstances. While its core principles remain steadfast, the specific structures and practices within Oha na Eze may evolve over time. In contemporary Igbo society, we observe variations in the implementation of Oha na Eze due to factors such as modernization, globalization, and individual community dynamics. However, the fundamental significance of Oha na Eze persists, as it continues to provide a framework for governance, conflict resolution, and the preservation of Igbo cultural values.

In conclusion, Oha na Eze plays a vital role in the Igbo socio-political system, embodying principles of unity, consensus, and communal responsibility. Understanding Oha na Eze allows us to appreciate the egalitarian nature of Igbo society, the importance of collective decision-making, and the significance of community cohesion. While Oha na Eze may evolve in its practices, its underlying principles and continued relevance are a testament to its enduring significance in Igbo culture.

REFERENCES

1. Achebe, C. (1994). *Things Fall Apart*. Penguin Books. 17, 18, 128.
2. Afigbo, A. E. (1981). *Ropes of Sand: Studies in Igbo History and Culture*. University Press Limited. 21.
3. Afigbo, A. E. (1981). *Age and inequality in a Nigerian community*. George Allen & Unwin. 31.
4. Ahamefule, J. N. (2017). *The Marginalization of the Igbo People in Nigeria's Political and Economic Sectors: What Is the Way Forward?* SCIRP Scientific Research Publishing.
5. Egwuyenga, U. I., & Omale, S. I. (2020). Cultural relativism and the crisis of traditional leadership in Africa: A study of Oha na Eze system among the Igbo of Southeast, Nigeria. *Sapientia Global Journal of Arts, Humanities and Development Studies*, Vol.3 No.2, 237.
6. Jeffreys, M. (1980). "The divine kingship of Nri in the ideology of Igbo origins." *African Languages and Cultures*, 3(2), 41-62.
7. Hodgetts, R., & Obi, C. (2000). *Igbo Studies: Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on the Igbo Language and Literature*. Heinemann Educational Publishers. 143.
8. Hodgkins, R. (1986). *Traditional Authority and Rural Development in Nigeria*. *African Affairs*, 85(338), 209-228.

9. Isiche, A. (1976). *The Igbo World of the Atlantic Trade*. Faber and Faber. 112.
10. Mbanefo, O. (1981). *Igbo Ideas of Life and Death*. Peter Lang. 178.
11. Meek, C. K. (1977). "Law and authority in a Nigerian tribe: A study of indigenous institutions in colonial transformation." *West African Studies Association in Nigeria*. 28.
12. Mezie, L. C. (1999). *Collective bargaining by consensus in Igbo society*. Peter Lang. 42.
13. Mezie, L. (1999). *Contemporary Igbo Leadership and the Ethical Imperative*. *Africa Today*, 46(2), 73-85.
14. Njoku, A. O. (1997). *The traditional Igbo leadership system*. Fourth Dimension Publishing Co. 78.
15. Njoku, C. M. (1999). *Political Corruption in Nigeria*. Afro Publishers. 102.
16. Nnoli, O. (1981). *Ethnic politics in Nigeria*. Fourth Dimension Publishing Co. 14.
17. Nwapa, G. T. (1977). "Settlement of disputes by traditional rulers in eastern Nigeria." *African Law Studies*, 18(1), 161-187.
18. Nwaorgu, F. O. (2016). *The Relevance of Traditional Institutions in Contemporary Nigerian Governance*. *Journal of African Studies and Development*, 8(2), 51-58.
19. Okafor, F. C. (2017). "The role of traditional rulers in contemporary Nigerian politics and development." *European Journal of African Studies*, 6(2), 119-129.
20. Okafor, R. E. (1987). *The Place of Traditional Rulers in Modern Nigeria*. *International Journal of African Historical Studies*, 20(1), 107-121.
21. Okpoko, A. I. (2012). "Culture, tourism and development in Nigeria." *Journal of Tourism and Hospitality Management*, 1(1), 82-91.
22. Onwuatuegwu, I. N. (2020). *The concept of reincarnation in Igbo ontology: A philosophical appraisal*. *Open Journal of Philosophy*, 10(04), 528.
23. Onwuatuegwu, I. N. (2020). *The Igbo are republican and egalitarian in nature: A philosophical approach*. *Sapientia Global Journal of Arts, Humanities and Development Studies (SGOJAHDS)*, 4*, 235-244.
24. Onwuatuegwu, I. N. (2020). *The Impossibility of Living an Isolated Existence: Metaphysical Implications*. *Journal of Advanced Sociology*, 2 (1), 1-8.
25. Odumegwu-Ojukwu, C. (2023, January 3). *It's Igbo's turn to produce president, Ohanaeze, Ezeife insist*. *Punch Newspapers*. Retrieved April 24, 2024, from <https://punchng.com/were-consulting-on-igbo-2023-presidency-ohananeze-ndigbo-youths/>.
26. Ohaegbu, F. (2023, March 21). *Political structures shouldn't affect Igbo unity – Ohanaeze*. *Punch Newspapers*. Retrieved April 24, 2024, from <https://punchng.com/political-structures-shouldnt-affect-igbo-unity-ohanaeze/>.
27. Onwuatuegwu, I. N. (2021). *The notion of family in Igbo African society: A philosophical Appraisal*. *Journal of African Interdisciplinary Studies*, 5(6), 56-67.
28. Onwuatuegwu, I. N. (2022). *Basic Issues in African Philosophy*. University Press, Ibadan. 143.
29. Onwuatuegwu, I. N. (2023). *A Philosophical Examination of the Unique Characteristics and Cultural Identity of the Igbo People*. *Journal of Public Representative and Society Provision*, 3 (1), 23 - 29.
30. Onwuatuegwu, I. N. (2023). *Igbo-African Symbolism Philosophy*. University Press, Ibadan. 80.
31. Onwuatuegwu, I. N. (2023). *235 The Igbo are republican and egalitarian in nature: A philosophical approach*. *Sapientia Global Journal of Arts, Humanities and Development Studies*, 3(2), 78-89.
32. Osuagwu, U. I. (2013). *The Igbo Worldview and Sociological Thought*. African Books Collective. 54.
33. Uchendu, V. I. (1965). *The Igbo of Southeast Nigeria*. Holt, Rinehart and Winston. 104.
34. Uzodinma, I. E. (2014). *Negotiating Diasporas: The Igbo Nation in Transnational Context*. Indiana University Press. 172.
35. Uzodinma, I. (2014). *Sorrowland*. Little, Brown and Company. 12, 13.
36. Uzodinma, I., & Onwuejelu, C. (2012). *Readings in Igbo History and Culture*. University Press of America. 102.
37. Uzodinma, I. E., & Onwumere, J. U. (2017). *Igbo political thought*. Lexington Books. 23.